

Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research www.jpsr.pharmainfo.in

# Physiological and Biochemical Characteristics of Digestive Metabolism in Poultry under the Influence of Probiotics and Enzyme Preparations

Victor H. Temiraev,

362040, Gorsky State Agrarian University, 362040, Russian Federation, Vladikavkaz, Kirov Street, 37.

Boris G. Tsugkiev,

362040, Gorsky State Agrarian University, 362040, Russian Federation, Vladikavkaz, Kirov Street, 37.

Mokhamed K. Kozhokov,

Kabardino-Balkarian State Agrarian University by V.M. Kokov, 360030, Russian Federation, Nalchik, 1V Lenin Ave.

Angelica A. Baeva,

North-Caucasian Mining and Metallurgical Institute (State Technological University), 362025, Vladikavkaz, Street of Nikolaev, 44, Russian Federation.

#### Lada A. Vityuk,

North-Caucasian Mining and Metallurgical Institute (State Technological University), 362025, Vladikavkaz, Street of Nikolaev, 44, Russian Federation.

# Fatima F. Kokaeva,

North-Ossetian State University by K.L. Khetagurov, 362025, Russian Federation, Vladikavkaz, 46 Vatutin Street.

#### Abstract.

The use of probiotics and enzyme preparations in poultry diets to intensify digestive metabolism in the body is a very pressing problem. The aim of the research was to study the effect of enzyme preparations of protosubtilinGZh and celloviridineG20h, as well as the probiotics of bifidumbacterin on the processes of digestive metabolism in growing birds and laying hens. In the course of the studies, it was found that the joint supplementation of the probiotic bifidumbacterin at the rate of 5 doses per 200 heads, enzyme preparations of protosubtilinGZh at the rate of 300 g/t and celloviridineG20h at the rate of 100 g/t of the feed allowed the growing birds and laying hens of the 4th experimental group significantly (P>0.95) exceed their control analogues in the proteolytic, cellulosolytic and amylolytic activity of the contents of the gizzard stomach and duodenum, in digestibility of crude protein, crude fiber, and nitrogen-free extracts (NFE) of the diets, as well as the level of protein digestibility of forages.

Key words: broiler chickens, enzyme preparations, probiotic, enzymatic activity, digestibility and nutrient availability.

**Relevance of the topic.** Widespread use of local grain ingredients in large quantities increases the amount of fiber, inhibitors of digestive enzymes. Therefore, for poultry it is especially important to enrich the rations with enzyme preparations that break down the shell of plant cells, resulted in theincreased access to their nutrients [1, 2]. At the same time, the effect of using exogenous enzymes in the feeding of farm animals and poultry depends on a number of factors: on the properties of the enzyme preparation and its dose, on the composition of the diet, age, the breed and the cross, on the conditions of keeping.

In recent decades, probiotics have been included in the complete feed for poultry as a biological stimulator for the growth of egg productivity and metabolism. They have a positive effect on the poultry organism, expressed in activation of the enzymatic system of the gastrointestinal tract, in changing the populations of intestinal microorganisms in a favorable direction, which leads to increased growth rateofgrowing birds and intensification of egg production [4, 5, 6].

Probiotics have a synergistic effect with a wide range of biologically active additives. One of the promising directions in this area is the search for combinations of enzyme preparations and new forms of probiotics, the use of which activates the digestive processes and increases the productivity of the poultry on this basis [7, 8].

Proceeding from the foregoing, the use of enzyme preparations and bifidumbacterin probiotics in rations of growing birds and laying hens to increase the physiological and biochemical indices of digestive metabolism in their bodies is quite an actual problem [9, 10, 11].

The aim of the research was to study the effect of enzyme preparations of protosubtilin GZh and celloviridineG20h, as well as probiotic bifidumbacterin on physiological and biochemical processes of digestive metabolism ingrowing birds and laying hens.

## METHODS

In order to achieve the goal, under the conditions of the poultry farm of LLC "Iraf-Agro" of RNO-Alania, scientific and production and two physiological metabolism trials were conducted on the replacementgrowing chickens and laying hens of the meat cross "Smena-7".

The scientific and production experiment included two stages of research. In the course of the first stage of the experiment, five groups of 200 heads each were formed from one-day-old conditioned chickens by the method of analog groups. The duration of this stage of research on the replacementgrowing birds was 22-23 weeks, after which the experimental poultry was transferred to the departmentfor laying hens.

During the II stage of the research and production experiment, the studies were carried out on the same flock that was used during the first stage of the experiment, taking into account their survival rate. The duration of this stage was 300 days. During both stages of the scientific and economic experiment, the replacementgrowing birds and laying hens were floor-managed.

Feeding of the experimental birds in the course of the experiment was carried out in accordance with the detailed standards of feeding poultry, according to the research scheme given in Table 1.

To determine the digestibility and availability of feed nutrients, 2 physiological experiments were conducted on replacementgrowing birds aged 90-105 days and laying hens - at the age of 350-365 days using an inert chromium oxide indicator [12].

The enzymatic activity of the contents of the gizzard stomach and duodenum was determined by conventional methods after slaughter of the chickens at the age of 150 days and layers at the age of 450 days [13].

The results of the studies were processed using the Student's method of Variational Statistics using the Microsoft Excel software analysis package.

#### **RESULTS OF THE RESEARCH AND THE DISCUSSION.**

According to the recommendations of the manufacturers, the tested multi-enzyme complexes should be included in the composition of the complete feed, where wheat and barley account for more than 40% and sunflower meal - up to 15%.

The replacement growing birdsand the parent flock of the compared groups were fedwith dry complete feed, which included bifidumbacterin and enzyme preparations in a stepwise manner with the help of the metering feeder, so that the biologically active additives were more evenly mixed with the feed.

Special features of the digestive systemstructure: the presence of a crop and a two-chamber stomach (gizzard and glandular), where generally, there is protein degradationalong with the small intestine, have an impacton the fermentation processes of the diet nutrients in the body of poultry. Taking it into account, we studied the enzymatic activity of the contents of the gizzard stomach and duodenum in replacement growing birds and laying hens (Table 2).

In the course of scientific and economic experiment it was found that the mixture of enzymatic preparations of protosubtilinGZh and celloviridineG20h in combination with the probiotic ofBifidumSKhZh had a higher stimulating effect on the synthesis of proteolytic gastrointestinal the enzymes in tract of the replacementgrowing birds and laying hens. Due to this, the bird of the 4 experimental group had the highest indices of proteolytic activity in the contents of the gizzard stomach and chyme in the duodenum, significantly (P > 0.95)outperforming the control analogues at the first stage of the experiment by 11.2 and 7.7% and second stage - by 4.7 and 4.8% respectively.

Group	Feeding features			
I stageof scientific and economic experiment on replacement growing birds				
Control	Basicdiet (BD)			
1 trial	BD+ BifidumSKhZh at the rate of 5 doses per 200 heads			
2 trial	BD + BifidumSKhZh at the rate of 5 doses per 200 heads + protosubtilinGZhat the rate of 300g/t of feed			
3trial	BD + BifidumSKhZh at the rate of 5 doses per 200 heads +celloviridineG20h at the rate of 100g/t of feed			
4 trial	BD + BifidumSKhZh at the rate of 5 doses per 200 heads + protosubtilinGZh at the rate of 300g/t of feed+ celloviridineG20h at the rate of 100g/t of feed			
II stage of scientific and economic experiment on laying hens				
Control	Basic diet (BD)			
1 trial	BD + BifidumSKhZh at the rate of 5 doses per 200 heads			
2 trial	BD + BifidumSKhZh at the rate of 5 doses per 200 heads + protosubtilinGZh at the rate of 300g/t of feed			
3 trial	BD + BifidumSKhZh at the rate of 5 doses per 200 heads + celloviridine G20h at the rate of 100g/t of feed			
4trial	BD + BifidumSKhZh at the rate of 5 doses per 200 heads + protosubtilinGZh at the rate of 300g/t of feed + celloviridineG20h at the rate of 100g/t of feed			

 Table 1 - Scheme of scientific and economic experiment

		bird, units /	g			
	Gizzardste	Gizzardstomach		Duodenum		
Group	replacement growing birds	laying hens	replacement growing birds	layinghens		
- · · <b>r</b>	age, days	age, days	age, days	age, days		
	150	455	150	455		
Proteolyticactivity,	units/g					
Control	43.5±0.16	50.9±0.25	130.4±0.24	148.5±0.29		
1 trial	46.1±0.20*	52.8±0.28*	133.0±0.34*	152.5±0.38*		
2 trial	46.3±0.26*	53.4±0.30*	134.0±0.27*	153.2±0.33*		
3 trial	47.4±0.15*	53.7±0.23*	134.4±0.33*	154.0±0.36*		
4 trial	48.4±0.21*	54.8±0.33*	136.6±0.39*	155.6±0.41*		
Celluloseolyticactiv	vity, units/g					
Control	13.5±0.12	16.9±0.22	20.4±0.22	22.5±0.21		
1 trial	15.1±0.22*	18.3±0.20*	21.7±0.14*	23.6±0.18*		
2 trial	15.3±0.20*	18.4±0.23*	21.9±0.17*	23.8±0.23*		
3 trial	15.5±0.18*	18.7±0.18*	22.1±0.23*	24.0±0.26*		
4 trial	16.2±0.27*	19.3±0.30*	22.8±0.19*	24.6±0.31*		
Lipolyticactivity, u	nits/g					
Control	16.89±0.24	18.65±0.24	72.73± 0.23	76.87±0.20		
1 trial	16.95±0.35	18.82±0.26	72.86±0.31	76.44±0.26		
2 trial	17.03±0.29	18.94±0.28	73.00±0.36	77.05±0.28		
3 trial	16.44±0.28	18.76±0.23	72.71±0.23	76.73±0.30		
4 trial	17.31±0.32	19.11±0.30	73.10±0.34	77.01±0.27		
Amylolyticactivity,	units/g					
Control			272.1±0.26	296.2±0.29		
1 trial	88.6±0.20*	96.6±0.21*	295.2±0.32*	316.6±0.38*		
2 trial	89.3±0.25*	97.2±0.25*	295.5±0.37*	316.2±0.33*		
3 trial	89.6±0.28*	97.6±0.23*	296.0±0.43*	316.6±0.36*		
4 trial	90.3±0.23*	98.3±0.33*	297.1±0.39*	319.4±0.39*		
*P>0.95.1	n=5		· · ·			

# Table 2 - Dynamics of the enzymatic activity in the contents of gizzard stomach and chyme of duodenum in the test bird, units /g

\*P>0.95, n=5

With the age of the bird, during the experime

nt, we found a tendency of increasing the proteolytic activity of the contents of the studied sections of the gastrointestinal tract, which corresponds to the general biological regularities of the formation of the digestive system in poultry.

It has been found that the feeding of the probiotic alone or in combination with protosubtilinGZh and celloviridinG20h because of the absence of lipases in the composition of the used enzyme preparations did not actually affect the lipolytic activity of the contents of the gizzard stomach and duodenal chyme of the test bird, since there were no significant differences (P <0.95) between the analogs of the control and experimental groups in all age periods.

It is known that poultry has a weak development of cellulolytic microflora of the gastrointestinal tract, with the exception of the caecum. However, bifidobacteria are able to secrete  $\beta$ -glucanases, and in addition, the supply of exogenous cellulolytic enzymes in the rations of the birdsof the 4 experimental group contributed to a significant (P> 0.95) increase in cellulases activity in the contents of the gizzard and duodenum by 20.000 and 14.2% in growing birds and in laying hens - by 11.8 and 9.3% respectively.

The processes of glycolysis in the body of the poultry are inseparably linked with the transformation of nitrogenous substances, since carbohydrates act as the main source of energy accumulated in the macroergic bonds of ATP and used for protein synthesis in organs and tissues.

Along with this, it was found that the additives of the bifidumbacterinprobiotic and a mixture of multienzyme complexes of protosubtilinGZh and celloviridineG20h enabled the replacement growing birds and laying hens of the 4 experimental group significantly (P> 0.95) exceed their control analogues for amylolytic activity of the contents of the gizzard stomach by 7.2 and 8.0%, as well as the duodenum - by 9.2 and 7.8%, respectively.

Due to the synergism of the amylases effect, secreted by bifidobacteria, and amylolytic enzymes in the composition of enzyme preparations, in the contents of the gizzard stomach and duodenum of the growing birds and laying hens of the 4<sup>th</sup>experimental group, the activity of amylases was the highest in all studies in comparison with the control analogues.

Consequently, additionsof probioticbifidumbacterin and a mixture of multienzyme complexes of protosubtilinGZh and celloviridineG20hin mixed fodders based on wheat, barley and sunflower oil meal have a positive effect on the biochemical processes of fermentation of feed nutrients in the gastrointestinal tract of replacement growing birds and laying hens.

Based on the results of physiological metabolism trials, the digestibility coefficients of nutrients in rations for the replacement growing birds and laying hens of the compared groups were calculated (Table 3).

In the course of the first physiological trial, the broilers of the fourth experimental group, that received the probiotic and a mixture of enzyme preparations of protosubtilinGZh and celloviridinG20h, had the highest digestibility coefficients of nutrients. Due to this, they had a significant (P> 0.95) advantage in digestibilitycoefficients of dry matter by 4.2%, organic matter - by 4.1%, crude protein - by 4.1%, crude fiber - by 3.9% and NFE - by 4.0%, as compared to the control.

In the course of the second physiological metabolism trial, the joint feeding of the test preparations was most effective in digesting the nutrients of the rations, which made it possible to increase significantly (P> 0.95) % the digestibility coefficient of the dry matter by 4.4%, organic matter - by 4.3%, crude protein - by 3.9%, raw fiber - by 2.8% and NFE - by 4.6% in the laying hens of the 4 experimental groupas compared to the control. This can be explained by the fact that in this combination these preparations in a more optimal amount enrich the digestive tract of the bird with the necessary complex of missing enzymes.

Absence of lipases in the composition of protosubtilinGZh and celloviridinG20h promoted obtaining practically the same level of digestibility of raw fat in birds of the compared groups.

Consequently, joint additions of a mixture of test preparations made it possible to optimize the sequence and degree of hydrolysis and absorption of protein components, fiber and NFE of feeds in replacement growing birds and laying hens.

Based on the results of physiological metabolismtrials, a nitrogen balance was calculated for the replacement growing birds and laying hens (Table 4).

According to the results of the first physiological trial, the supplementation of probiotic bifidumbacterin and a mixture of multi-enzyme composition of protosubtilinGZh and celloviridineG20h contributed to the better nitrogen assimilation of the diets of wheat-barley-sunflower-type for replacement growing birds, which allowed the birds of the 4 experimental groups to deposit it in the body by 0.175 g (P > 0.95) more, and also better utilize it from the accepted amount - by 3.13% (P> 0.95).

Table 3 - Digestibility	v coefficients of nutrients of rations forthe test bird,%	
Tuble o Digestibility	coefficients of nuclicities of fuctoris for the test birdy /	,

Indices	Group				
	control	1 trial	2 trial	3 trial	4 trial
I physiological trial on r	eplacement growing	birds			
Dry matter	79.8±0.42	82.2±0.39*	82.9±0.50*	82.6±0.44*	84.0±0.37*
Organicmatter	81.4±0.37	83.6±0.40*	84.4±0.50*	84.0±0.45*	85.5±0.47*
Crude protein	83.9±0.42	86.5±0.50*	86.6±0.52*	86.9±0.50*	88.0±0.41*
FIber	11.2±0.38	13.9±0.52*	14.2±0.45*	14.9±0.51*	15.1±0.55*
Crude fat	87.0±0.74	87.4±0.68	88.1±0.63	88.3±0.84	89.3±1.15
NFE	86.7±0.45	88.7±0.62*	89.7±0.76*	88.9±0.65*	90.7±0.94*
II physiological trial on	laying hens				
Dry matter	77.6±0.61	80.0±0.51*	80.3±0.46*	80.7±0.55*	82.0±0.53*
Organic matter	78.7±0.47	81.1±0.56*	81.2±0.37*	81.8±0.50*	83.0±0.58*
Crude protein	77.0±0.49	79.4±0.62*	79.8±0.44*	80.3±0.32*	80.9±0.59*
Fiber Клетчатка	15.2±0.37	17.0±0.65*	17.5±0.35*	17.5±0.52*	18.0±0.48*
Crude fat	79.0±0.39	78.3±0.68	77.8±0.59	78.5±0.62	78.7±0.77
NFE	84.3±0.63	86.7±0.36*	86.9±0.46*	87.4±0.61*	88.9±0.54*
*D: 0.05 5	1				

\*P>0.95, n=5

Indiana	Group				
Indices	control	1 trial	2 trial	3 trial	4 trial
I physiological trial on replacement growing birds					
Takenwithfeed	3.155±0.015	3.167±0.032	2.995±0.007	3.155±0.020	3.123±0.031
Excreted: in dung	1.513±0.016	1.437±0.019*	1.253±0.006*	1.405±0.012*	1.306±0.014*
in feces	0.508±0.016	0.426±0.003*	0.355±0.020*	0.378±0.002*	0.408±0.006*
in urine	1.005±0.031	1.011±0.026	0.898±0.013*	1.027±0.021*	0.898±0.037*
Deposited	1.642±0.007	1.730±0.015*	1.742±0.04*	1.750±0.03*	1.817±0.018*
Utilizedfromtaken, %	52.05±0.46	54.62±0.61*	58.17±0.42*	55.47±0.79*	58.18±0.51*
II physiological trial on laying hens					
Takenwithfeed	3.52 <u>+</u> 0.06	3.55 <u>+</u> 0.05	3.50 <u>+</u> 0.05	3.57 <u>+</u> 0.05	3.55 <u>+</u> 0.05
Excreted:in dung	1.86 <u>+</u> 0.06	1.82 <u>+</u> 0.04	1.80 <u>+</u> 0.05	1.79 <u>+</u> 0.07*	1.64 <u>+</u> 0.07*
in egg	1.18 <u>+</u> 0.02	1.25 <u>+</u> 0.02*	1.24 <u>+</u> 0.03	1.29 <u>+</u> 0.02*	1.39 <u>+</u> 0.02*
Deposited	0.48 <u>+</u> 0.08	0.48 <u>+</u> 0.04	0.46 <u>+</u> 0.03	0.49 <u>+</u> 0.05	0.52 <u>+</u> 0.04
Utilizedfromtaken, %	47.16 <u>+</u> 0.54	45.98 <u>+</u> 0.39*	48.60 <u>+</u> 0.38*	49.96 <u>+</u> 1.36*	53.96 <u>+</u> 1.38*

\*P>0.95, n=5

The best utilization of feed nitrogen in laying hens was provided by the combined feeding of probiotic bifidumbacterin and enzyme preparations of protosubtilinGZh and celloviridinG20h. Thus, most of the nitrogen was deposited in the body of chickens of the 4<sup>th</sup>test group - 0.48 g, which is 8.33% (P> 0.95) higher than in the control.

According to the utilization of nitrogen from the received amount of 53.96% and 1.39 g excreted with the egg, the best indices were also for the birds of the 4th test group, significantly (P> 0.95) having outperformed the control analogues by 6.8 and 17.8% respectively.

### CONCLUSION

A combination of probiotic of bifidumbacterin at the rate of 5 doses per 200 heads, enzyme preparations of protosubtilinGZh at therate of 300 g/t and celloviridineG20h at the rate of 100 g/t of feed should be included in the rations of cereal-sunflower type forgrowing birds and laying hens to intensify biochemical processes of fermentation of feed in the gastrointestinal tract and increase the digestibility and nutrientavailability.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- Temirayev, R.B. Povysheniye kachestva myasa kur-broylerov / R.B. Temirayev, A.A. Bayeva, M.G. Kokayeva // Myasnaya industriya. – 2009. – № 6. – S. 25-27.
- Kokayeva, M.G. Povysheniye pishchevoy tsennosti myasa broylerov. / M.G. Kokayeva // Materialy XII vserossiyskoy nauchnoprakticheskoy konferentsii «Agropromyshlennyy kompleks i aktual'nyye problem ekonomiki regionov». – Maykop. – 2008. – S. 200-201.
- Land, M. Lactobacillus sepsis assosiated with probiotic therapi / M Land, K. Rouster-Stevens, C. Woods, M. Cannon, J. Cnota, A. Shetty // Pediatrics. - 2005. - Vol. 111, № 1. - P. 178-181.

- Rambaud, J. C. Gut microflora. Digestive physiology and pathology / J. C. Rambaud, J. P. Buts, G. Corthier, B. Flourie. – Paris: John Libbey Eurotext, 2006. – P. 142 – 154.
- Koenen, M. E. Modulation of the immune response by probiotics in chicken / M. E. Koenen, S. H. N. Jeurissen, W. J. A. Boersma // British Journal of Nutrition. – 2002. – Vol. 88, № 3. – P. 120–121.
- Irshad, A. Effect of Probiotics on Broilers Performance International / A. Irshad // J. Poultry Science. – 2006. – Vol. 5, № 6. – P. 593– 597.
- Biswas, A. Effect of vitamin E on production performance and egg quality traits in Indian native Kadaknath hen / A. Biswas, J. Mohan, K.V.H. Sastry // Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. – 2010. – 3: 9-12.
- Tsai, H.L. Beneficial effects of maternal vitamin E supplementation on the antioxidant system of the neonate chick brain / H.L. Tsai, S.C.C. Chang, Y.F. Lin, S.J. Chang // Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. – 2008. – 21:225-231.
- Temirayev R.B. Vliyaniye usloviy pitaniya tsyplyat-broylerov na ikh khozyaystvenno-biologicheskiye kachestva pri riske aflatoksikoza / R.B. Temirayev, L.A. Vityuk, A.A. Bayeva, L.M. Bazayeva, S.CH. Savkhalova, R.V. Kalagova // Izvestiya Gorskogo gosudarstvennogo agrarnogo universiteta. – Vladikavkaz. – 2013. – T. 50. – № 3. – S. 107-110.
- 10 .Bayeva, A.A. Tovarovednaya otsenka ptich'yego myasa pri narushenii ekologii pitaniya / A.A. Bayeva, L.A. Vityuk, S.K. Abayeva, L.B. Buzoyeva, A.V. Abayev // Izvestiya Gorskogo gosudarstvennogo agrarnogo universiteta. – Vladikavkaz. – 2013. – T. 50. – № 2.S. – 105-110.
- Chikov A. Produktivnoye deystviye probiotika na molodnyak kurnesushek / A. Chikov, S. Kononenko, N. Pyshmantseva, D. Osepchuk //Kombikorma. – 2012. - № 2. – S. 96-97.
- FominA.I. Metodika opredeleniya perevarimosti kormov i skorosti prokhozhdeniya pishchi po pishchevaritel'nomu traktu spomoshch'yu okisi khroma / A.I. Fomin, A.Ya. Avrutina //Metodiki nauchnykh issledovaniy po kormleniyu sel'skokhozyaystvennoy ptitsy. M. – 1967. – S. 21 - 25.
- Gil'manov M.K. Metody ochistki i izucheniye fermentov rasteniy / M.K. Gil'manov, O.V. Fusov, A.P. Frantsev // Izdatel'stvo «Nauka» Kazakhskoy SSR. – Alma-Ata. – 1981. – S. 3.